

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
) Case No. 2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK
Plaintiff,)
) Las Vegas, Nevada
vs.) January 29, 2021
) Courtroom 3D
STEPHON JAMES WHITNEY,)
)
) Recording method:
Defendant.) Liberty/CRD
) 10:07 a.m. - 10:36 a.m.
) 10:50 a.m. - 10:50 a.m.
DETENTION HEARING

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED VIA ZOOM
BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAM FERENBACH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: **DANIEL COWHIG, AUSA**
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-6336

(Appearances continued on page 2.)

Recorded by: Tawnee Renfro

Transcribed by: Amber M. McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914
United States District Court
333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Room 1334
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 384-0429 or AM@nvd.uscourts.gov

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording.
Transcript produced by mechanical stenography and computer.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

2 For the Defendant:

3 **YI LIN ZHENG, ESQ.**

VEGAS GOLDEN LAW

4 2801 South Valley View Boulevard, Suite 16

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

5 (702) 385-7170

6 Also Present:

7 Jessie Moorehead, Pretrial Services

8
9 * * * * *

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; FRIDAY, JANUARY 29, 2021; 10:07 A.M.

2 --o0o--

3 P R O C E E D I N G S

4 **COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR:** This is the time set for a
5 detention hearing in Case 2:21-cr-2-JAD-NJK, United States of
6 America versus Stephon James Whitney.

7 Counsel, please enter your appearance for the record
8 beginning with the Government.

9 **MR. COWHIG:** Good morning, Your Honor. Dan Cowhig
10 for the United States.

11 **THE COURT:** Good morning, Mr. Cowhig.

12 **MS. ZHENG:** And good morning, Your Honor. Yi Lin
13 Zheng on behalf of the defendant, Stephon Whitney. And he is
14 also present in custody at the Nevada Southern Detention
15 Center appearing via video.

16 **THE COURT:** Thank you, Ms. Zheng.

17 Mr. Whitney, can you hear me okay?

18 **THE DEFENDANT:** Yes, sir.

19 **THE COURT:** And last time you appeared I read a
20 disclosure about why we were doing video hearings. Do you
21 consent to appearing by video at this hearing?

22 **THE DEFENDANT:** Yes, sir.

23 **THE COURT:** Okay. Thank you.

24 All right. So this is interesting. We've got -- we
25 had set this for the detention hearing. I did receive an

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 updated report from Pretrial Services based on the interview
2 that was conducted on -- on January 27th. Have both counsel
3 seen this?

4 **MR. COWHIG:** Yes, Your Honor.

5 **MS. ZHENG:** Yes, Your Honor.

6 **THE COURT:** Okay. Good.

7 And then, Ms. Zheng, as -- as I suggested, she's
8 filed a memo regarding defendant's waiver of temporary right
9 to custody under the Interstate Agreement on Detainers Act,
10 which I've read. And of course we already have in the docket
11 the waiver. That's Number 11.

12 So I think the first thing I have to decide is: Am I
13 going to address the detention issue first -- you know,
14 because this is set for a detention hearing -- and then deal
15 with the interstate waiver rights and so on? Or, I guess, I
16 think Ms. Zheng wants me to do the interstate waiver thing
17 first, decide that, and if I'm going to let -- allow him to go
18 back to state custody, then just have him be temporarily
19 detained here. And then we do the detention hearing once he
20 gets transferred back here after his parole situation is
21 worked out over there.

22 Let me ask Ms. Zheng. Is that what you would prefer,
23 Ms. Zheng?

24 **MS. ZHENG:** That is my preference, Your Honor. I
25 mean, certainly I understand the -- generally with federal

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 cases, if I were to reopen a detention hearing, I would have
2 to petition the Court to do so anyway. As of this point, you
3 know, clearly I would like to argue for his release. However,
4 I understand that at this point that argument would be moot
5 because I do understand that he has a state detainer that he,
6 in fact, is serving a sentence on. And so rather than doing
7 it now [indiscernible] and redoing it when there's a change
8 in -- material change in circumstances, I would understand
9 that he would temporarily be detained; that the U.S. Marshal
10 Service would lodge a detainer against him, thereby he
11 wouldn't just be released when he has completed his state
12 sentence; and that he would return to federal custody, and
13 that we could address his detention as to the federal case
14 specifically at that time.

15 **THE COURT:** Right. Okay. I -- so I do understand
16 your position.

17 What's the Government think about all this,
18 Mr. Cowhig?

19 **MR. COWHIG:** Your Honor, the Government --

20 **THE COURT:** Whoa. Mr. Cowhig, your --

21 **MR. COWHIG:** -- position. First on the Interstate
22 Agreement on Detainers --

23 **THE COURT:** Hold on.

24 **MR. COWHIG:** -- the waiver is not valid because --

25 **THE COURT:** Mr. Cowhig, hold on. Hold on.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 You -- your video is frozen, and your audio is
2 intermittent. So I'm not understanding what you're saying.

3 **MR. COWHIG:** Am I back, Your Honor?

4 **THE COURT:** Apparently. Let's try again. Start over
5 at the beginning.

6 **MR. COWHIG:** I'll -- I'll try a little more slowly
7 and minimize my movements.

8 The waiver I believe is defective because it does not
9 include a waiver of the time frames set out in Article IV(a)
10 of the Interstate Agreement on Detainers -- I'm sorry, IV(e),
11 echo.

12 **THE COURT:** So the --

13 **MR. COWHIG:** The Interstate Agreement on Detainers
14 sets --

15 **THE COURT:** Okay. You know what? I've got that up
16 here, I think, on Westlaw. So let me... let me...

17 Okay. I've got section 2, enacted into law,
18 Interstate Agreement on Detainers. There's Article I,
19 Article II, Article III -- is that the thing we're talking
20 about? Or -- or do you have --

21 **MS. ZHENG:** That is, Your Honor. And if I understand
22 what the Government is saying, I think we could cure that
23 defect. In essence, what it is, is that --

24 **THE COURT:** Ms. Zheng. Ms. Zheng, hold on. I've
25 never read this thing before, so I want to find the section

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 he's talking about and read it, and then I'll hear you. Okay?

2 **MS. ZHENG:** Okay.

3 **THE COURT:** So what -- you're saying IV(e)? Okay.

4 IV(e) --

5 **MR. COWHIG:** IV -- yes, Your Honor. IV(e).

6 **THE COURT:** If trial is -- if trial is not had on any
7 indictment prior to the prisoner's being returned to the
8 original place of imprisonment, such indictment shall not be
9 of any further force or effect, and the Court shall enter an
10 order dismissing the same with prejudice; is that the section
11 you're talking about?

12 **MR. COWHIG:** Yes, Your Honor. And there's a time
13 frame set in IV(c) of 120 days to begin the trial, which is
14 different from the Speedy Trial Act.

15 **THE COURT:** Oh. Okay. And, of course, this is set
16 up between states, the receiving state and the sending state,
17 and we've got the Federal Government here. So is it
18 interpreted that state means Federal Government under this
19 context?

20 **MR. COWHIG:** Yes, Your Honor.

21 **THE COURT:** Oh. Okay. Now, I did find there's a
22 section 9, special provision when United States is a receiving
23 state. So I thought that might be helpful. It says:
24 Notwithstanding any provision of the agreement on detainers to
25 the contrary, in a case where the United States is the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 receiving state, any order of a court dismissing any
2 indictment, information, or complaint may be with or without
3 prejudice. In determining whether to dismiss the case with or
4 without prejudice, the Court shall consider, among other
5 things, each of the following factors: Seriousness of
6 offense, facts and circumstances in the case which led to the
7 dismissal, and the impact of re-prosecution on the
8 administration of the agreement on detainers or the
9 administration of justice. I don't think that applies.
10 Maybe. I don't know.

11 But then the second part says: It shall not be a
12 violation of the agreement on detainers if, prior to trial,
13 the prisoner's returned to the custody of the sending state
14 pursuant to an order of the appropriate court issued after
15 reasonable notice to the prisoner and the United States and an
16 opportunity for a hearing.

17 So that kind of sounds like it lets me do that. You
18 know, that seems to support what Ms. Zheng is asking. But
19 you're saying because the waiver is defective? Well, it
20 wouldn't matter if the waiver --

21 **MR. COWHIG:** Yes.

22 **THE COURT:** Because this act says I can do that even
23 if he doesn't waive his rights under the...

24 **MR. COWHIG:** Yes, Your Honor. It does allow the
25 Court of its -- *sua sponte* to return a prisoner to the state.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 **THE COURT:** Okay. Okay. And the reason that's in
2 there is, if I understand this, this -- this Interstate
3 Compact was to prevent states and government -- and the U.S.
4 Government from shuttling people back and forth kind of
5 against their will. It was making them stay in one place
6 until something got resolved, and then they can be sent
7 some -- it was called the anti-shuttling statute; right?

8 **MR. COWHIG:** Yes, Your Honor. And it -- its general
9 purpose is, when there's more than one trial underway, to
10 finish one trial before heading to the other trial.

11 **THE COURT:** Right.

12 **MR. COWHIG:** In this instance, we only have our
13 trial. There is no state proceeding underway.

14 **THE COURT:** Well, apparently there's a -- what --
15 didn't you say something's happening in the state court,
16 Ms. Zheng?

17 **MS. ZHENG:** Well, he is set to go before the Parole
18 Board. So it's not specifically in front of the state court.

19 But one of the other ideas behind the anti-shuttling
20 act is that not only is it just a [indiscernible] impacts his
21 programming status, his ability to be seen by the Parole Board
22 in this case if he were held in federal custody. And,
23 ultimately, it's going to impact his calculation of time as we
24 go further down the road. He is very close to ending his
25 state sentence. And, quite frankly, where we have our trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 set right now in March, it wouldn't -- it wouldn't interfere
2 with our trial. However, it would allow him to be seen by the
3 Parole Board and hopefully to be granted parole so that, when
4 he returns to federal custody, there would be no further
5 detainers and this would be the only case at issue.

6 **THE COURT:** Right. So -- so your proposal is that
7 I -- I order him temporarily detained without holding a
8 detention hearing, and I'd have to find good cause not to hold
9 the detention hearing without the time required. And I'd
10 order him temporarily detained then and order that he be
11 returned back to the custody of the state so he can have his
12 parole hearing. And then, if -- if he's -- what -- well, I
13 guess one of two things would happen. Either he'll be paroled
14 or he won't; right? So if he's --

15 **MS. ZHENG:** Right.

16 **THE COURT:** -- not paroled, he'll still be in
17 custody. So I'd say, if he's not paroled, then he should be
18 ordered back here forthwith after the -- the hearing. And if
19 he is paroled, well, then, obviously there would be a detainer
20 and he'd -- he'd come back. So does that --

21 **MS. ZHENG:** Correct.

22 **THE COURT:** So that's kind of what you --

23 **MS. ZHENG:** Yes.

24 **THE COURT:** -- have in mind?

25 And so he's gonna stay detained -- if I hold a

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 detention hearing today and detain him, then he's gonna stay
2 here and be detained. And I could still order him back and
3 have him come back, whether he's temporarily detained or not.
4 But you'd like to have the detention hearing later because you
5 want the parole part to be tied up before you have the
6 detention hearing?

7 **MS. ZHENG:** That would be my preference, Your Honor,
8 yes.

9 **THE COURT:** Okay. And why does the Government object
10 to that, Mr. Cowhig, if you do?

11 **MR. COWHIG:** Your Honor, we believe that he -- he
12 needs to have his detention hearing now. He has been brought
13 over into federal custody. He has been held in federal
14 physical custody. His state time continues to run. He is not
15 losing any time on his state sentence because he's in physical
16 federal custody, but his state sentence continues to run under
17 the interstate agreement.

18 **THE COURT:** All right. Okay. Well, I think I
19 understand that.

20 And -- and still, I guess, I just want to make
21 sure -- sort of a hypothetical -- but suppose I hold the
22 detention hearing, and I do detain him. Then I could still
23 order him back to get his parole hearing done, and then have
24 him come back because he'll still be -- have a hold here. And
25 the only difference really would be, if you wanted to reopen,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 you'd have a -- an extra hurdle to go over, Ms. Zheng, is
2 that...

3 **MS. ZHENG:** Sure. And if the Court prefers to have
4 the detention hearing, I would just ask the Court for leave to
5 allow me to reopen the detention hearing if and when he does
6 resolve his parole status because it would be a material
7 change in circumstances.

8 **THE COURT:** Okay. So let me just make sure. From
9 your point of view, Mr. Cowhig, if I were to detain him today,
10 would the Government object to me ordering that he be -- go
11 back to state court to get the parole hearing out of the way,
12 and then come back as soon as it's over, whatever happens?

13 **MR. COWHIG:** Your Honor, I believe that under the
14 interstate agreement on detainers, he has the right to return
15 to state custody where he makes an appropriate request and
16 waiver.

17 **THE COURT:** Right.

18 **MR. COWHIG:** The facilities would have involvement
19 and input in that because there're a matter of practicalities
20 under COVID. We have quarantine on both ends of 14 days.

21 **THE COURT:** Right.

22 **MR. COWHIG:** And although Ms. Zheng has mentioned
23 our -- our trial date which is in March, there are dates
24 before that occasion which will be important. And it's not
25 clear that the state prison, which is not a pretrial holding

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 facility, has the capability to provide facilities for
2 conference with attorney-client privilege, to handle
3 discovery, and those sorts of things that would be an ordinary
4 part of the pretrial process.

5 **THE COURT:** Well, that is a factor, Ms. Zheng.
6 What --

7 **MS. ZHENG:** As of right now, neither facilities will
8 allow me to see the client in custody. I represented
9 Mr. Whitney on the underlying case in state court that he is
10 currently in custody on. So we have been in contact, and I've
11 been in contact with his family. He is allowed phone calls.
12 He has been making phone calls to me in either facility. In
13 fact, I haven't heard from him while he's been at Pahrump.
14 Meanwhile, I've had telephone calls for him while he was in
15 state. The difference is that, in Pahrump, through the
16 Federal Public Defender's Office, they've been kind enough to
17 set up video visits --

18 **THE COURT:** Video chats, yeah.

19 **MS. ZHENG:** -- as necessary, so...

20 **THE COURT:** All right. Okay. Well, you know what?
21 Let's go ahead and do the detention hearing, and we'll address
22 the second part in a minute.

23 But I think the Government's right that there's
24 really no reason to delay the detention hearing, and so I'm
25 going to do that now.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 So, Mr. Cowhig, what's the Government's position on
2 pretrial release?

3 **MR. COWHIG:** Your Honor, the Government seeks
4 detention.

5 **THE COURT:** And you're ready to proceed?

6 **MR. COWHIG:** We are, Your Honor.

7 **THE COURT:** Okay. And, Ms. Zheng, are you ready?

8 **MS. ZHENG:** Yes, Your Honor.

9 **THE COURT:** Okay. I'll hear from the Government
10 first, please.

11 **MR. COWHIG:** Your Honor, I believe in our last
12 hearing I moved under 18 United States Code § 3142(f)(1)(E) as
13 this case involves a firearm.

14 We proffer and adopt the report of Pretrial Services.
15 Mr. Whitney has an extensive criminal record. He committed
16 the charged offense while on state supervision for another
17 offense. He has multiple prior trafficking convictions for
18 controlled substances. As stated by Pretrial Services, he
19 does not have apparent financial resources or ties to this
20 community. He has a substance abuse history and mental health
21 history. He has a series of prior probation and parole
22 violations and revocations indicating that he would not comply
23 with conditions of supervision if released and, indeed, did
24 not in this instance.

25 He has -- is currently serving a state revocation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 sentence, although we don't believe that that is the fact that
2 trips the balance. We believe that even in the absence of
3 that particular fact, Mr. Whitney should be detained.

4 As to danger, the instant offense is a dangerous
5 offense. It does involve a firearm. The other factors which
6 were present for assessment of nonappearance are also present
7 here. We believe that there are no factors or combination
8 of -- I'm sorry, no conditions or combination of conditions
9 that would reasonably assure his appearance or the safety of
10 the community, and we ask that he be detained.

11 **THE COURT:** Thank you, Mr. Cowhig.

12 Ms. Zheng.

13 **MS. ZHENG:** Your Honor, I ask that, absent the parole
14 hold and the fact that he is currently serving that sentence
15 on revocation, that he should be released because the idea is
16 that, prior to that, he was on supervision and he was on
17 supervision for probation. And, in fact, he was doing
18 relatively well in regards to that.

19 I think that there is a huge switch in terms of the
20 information that we know about him from the initial Pretrial
21 Services report and just the updated report in regards to the
22 fact that he does, in fact, have ties to this community. He
23 has grown up here. He has never left the country, and he has
24 been in Nevada for the duration of his life. His family is
25 here, his two brothers, his mother, and his girlfriend who

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 came into my office and [indiscernible]. So in terms of his
2 ties to the community, I can certainly establish those and
3 vouch for those.

4 He does have financial resources in regards to the
5 fact that, prior to his arrest in the state case, he was
6 receiving unemployment and that he does now, as confirmed
7 by -- well, as verified by his girlfriend and also as was
8 self-reported, that he had a stable working history, that he
9 was employed as a janitor and, prior to that, he was laid off
10 because of COVID and that that's when he got on unemployment.
11 And before that he had a string of jobs which he had told
12 Pretrial about.

13 As to his use history, I think there are conditions
14 certainly that can be crafted against that. He was on
15 probation, and so any concerns that we have about use, he was
16 periodically tested. And he was, in fact, on probation for a
17 year and a half, which indicates to me that very much they
18 would be similar conditions to what we could impose pretrial
19 and that he did, in fact, do well while he was on probation
20 during that period of time. He didn't have negative tests
21 except for the first test that he went into probation, which
22 was a baseline test, and then subsequent to that he had tested
23 clean.

24 And also, in regards to his mental health history, I
25 think that was something that was -- is also -- we can craft

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 conditions around in regards to the fact that his diagnosis,
2 his most recent diagnosis for depression, as he reported to
3 Pretrial, was something that came out of a requirement that he
4 do a mental health assessment while he was on probation. So I
5 think it indicates that he has the ability to follow
6 directives as needed and to perform and to report to any body
7 that is supervising him for that period of time.

8 I know that the Government speaks to the fact that he
9 has prior felony convictions. And as to the primary one, the
10 one that he had served time in the Nevada Department of
11 Corrections the first time, I note that he was 17 at the time.
12 And I spoke with the family regarding the circumstances of
13 that case. It was a robbery, and he had gone to a jewelry
14 store with a friend. And the friend had brought a gun, and a
15 robbery was performed. He was standing in the store at the
16 time that the other person ran off. He was 17; he didn't know
17 what to do. He was standing in the store. The alleged -- the
18 victim of the robbery told him to go and to leave, not --
19 perhaps not understanding his -- entirely that he was part of
20 the scheme or not part of the scheme. But I want to
21 underscore that his involvement in that is limited, and he was
22 17 at the time. He served a heavy sentence for that.

23 And then, upon getting out, he -- the Government had
24 said that he had trafficking offenses. While he might have
25 been charged, I will note to the Court that he certainly was

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 not convicted of any type of trafficking offense in the state.
2 In fact, in the state system --

3 **THE COURT:** Well, hold on. Hold on, Ms. Zheng.
4 Ms. Zheng, maybe --

5 **MS. ZHENG:** Yes.

6 **THE COURT:** On the Pretrial Services report it says
7 8/31/17. I'm sorry. No, I'm -- 7/22/18, Number 1 is
8 trafficking Schedule I controlled substance. And two is
9 possession to sell Scheduled I/II controlled substance. And
10 it says one dash two, three, eight, nineteen, convicted
11 felony.

12 **MS. ZHENG:** He was convicted in that case. His entry
13 of plea to that case was to the possession of controlled
14 substance, not to the trafficking count.

15 **THE COURT:** Oh. Well, that's not what the report
16 says; right? Because it says one, dash, two. But, I mean --

17 **MS. ZHENG:** Correct. And I'm looking at his
18 conviction in the state case as to that. He did -- he pled to
19 the category [indiscernible] felony on it, which trafficking
20 in the state system is a B. And so, in fact, it was a
21 possession of controlled substance.

22 **THE COURT:** Possession --

23 **MS. ZHENG:** Had he flipped --

24 **THE COURT:** Wait. Possession to sell; right?

25 **MS. ZHENG:** Correct.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 **THE COURT:** Okay. Well -- okay. Okay. Go ahead.

2 **MS. ZHENG:** But nonetheless, he was granted probation
3 in that case. And so that was -- and the conviction stemmed
4 from July of '19 and up until I think it was September. So he
5 had been on probation for a little more than a year. And I
6 think that period of time indicates his ability to have
7 conditions of release crafted around him and his interaction
8 with the Department of Probation indicates to me that he would
9 be able to have positive interactions with the Department of
10 Pretrial Services.

11 **THE COURT:** Okay, Ms. Zheng. Thank you. I
12 understand your position.

13 Anything more, Mr. Cowhig?

14 **MR. COWHIG:** No, Your Honor. Thank you.

15 **THE COURT:** All right. All right. The charge here
16 is felon in possession of a firearm, one count. Defendant is
17 a lifelong Las Vegas resident, except when he was
18 incarcerated, and, you know, has I would say ties to this
19 community. But his employment history, while there is an
20 employment history, it's somewhat spotty. He was employed and
21 drew unemployment because he was laid off with COVID. So, you
22 know, those are the nature and circumstances -- or the history
23 and characteristics.

24 You know, to that extent you do argue for release,
25 but we have the four felony convictions. And there was

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 selling drugs involved as well as weapons and, of course, the
2 robbery, but he was young when he was involved in that.

3 I think probably the toughest thing for me here on
4 this is that he -- his probation was revoked just in September
5 of '20. And so, you know, when you committed this offense, he
6 was subject to conditions of release, which is an important
7 factor when I'm considering the release condition. He does
8 have a substance abuse history. The felon in possession of a
9 firearm and the weapons history speak to danger to other
10 persons or the community

11 Accordingly, the Court finds by a preponderance of
12 the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
13 will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant
14 [indiscernible] and by clear and convincing evidence that no
15 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
16 the safety of other persons or the community. Accordingly,
17 the defendant's ordered detained pending trial. He'll be
18 committed to the custody of the U.S. Marshal for confinement
19 in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable,
20 from persons awaiting or serving sentences with reasonable
21 opportunity for private consultation with counsel.

22 Okay. So I've done that. We've got the trial date.

23 So I now want to talk about getting him back there to
24 do the parole. So this is what I'm inclined to do, and then
25 I'll hear from both sides. I am going to order that, if the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 authorities that have custody of him here and in the state can
2 work out a way with the COVID restrictions and everything to
3 get him back there in time for his hearing and he can have his
4 hearing, and then he can be transported back to here as soon
5 as the hearing is over, regardless of the outcome.

6 And then, as far as moving to reopen, I mean, you
7 always have a right to move to reopen, but you do have to meet
8 the standard. Okay?

9 Mr. Cowhig, is -- would that work for you?

10 **MR. COWHIG:** Yes, Your Honor.

11 Could your order also include that the authorities
12 explore whether Mr. Whitney could appear for his probation
13 hearing by VTC from Pahrump --

14 **THE COURT:** Oh, right.

15 **MR. COWHIG:** -- to obviate --

16 **THE COURT:** Yes.

17 **MR. COWHIG:** -- to obviate the need for movement and
18 potential exposures?

19 **THE COURT:** That -- you know, I imagine they're
20 probably being by video anyway. Do you know, Ms. Zheng?

21 **MS. ZHENG:** I -- I know that generally, when I appear
22 in front of the Parole Board, whenever their agenda is set
23 out, most of the people are generally at High Desert, and then
24 they bring them in the room. They have very limited
25 [indiscernible] to regards to that because I've tried to

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 appear via video also for parole hearings, and they can't do
2 that because they just don't have the capability. They only
3 have the capability for one video feed at a time, and
4 generally that is to High Desert.

5 **THE COURT:** Right. Okay. All right. Well, you
6 know, I will add in there just to put in there, if you would,
7 Tawnee, that if video appearance can be arranged so that he
8 doesn't have to be transported, you know, that would be
9 preferable. But if it can't, I'd like the parties to work
10 together to see if you can't get him to get that parole
11 hearing done so, you know, we can move forward in both places.

12 And I guess -- I don't know if I need to find but --
13 whether the waiver's effective or not. I think under that
14 section that I talked about I can order this even if he
15 doesn't waive his rights under the anti-shuttling statute. So
16 I -- I think I'm on good legal ground there. Okay.

17 **MR. COWHIG:** Yes, Your Honor. But we ask that you --
18 you hold off issuing that order until Mr. Whitney enters the
19 waiver of the timeframe set out in the Interstate Agreement
20 on Detainers.

21 **THE COURT:** Oh. Okay.

22 **MS. ZHENG:** Well --

23 **THE COURT:** It's the waiver --

24 **MS. ZHENG:** -- while we're --

25 **THE COURT:** Hold on a minute.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 That's two different things. So we're talking about
2 speedy trial-type waiver. That's a different waiver from the
3 compact waiver.

4 **MR. COWHIG:** It is a different waiver from speedy
5 trial, Your Honor. It's a shorter time frame with fewer
6 exceptions under the interstate agreement.

7 **THE COURT:** Okay. Well, I can just -- I mean,
8 couldn't his counsel just do that on the record right now?
9 Do you -- you waive the time frames under the
10 compact?

11 **MS. ZHENG:** Stephon?

12 **THE COURT:** I'm sorry?

13 **THE DEFENDANT:** Yes?

14 **MS. ZHENG:** Okay. So, in essence, what they're
15 saying is you want to go back to state to finish your time and
16 so that you can appear in front of the Parole Board.
17 Generally, under this Interstate Agreement on Detainers, what
18 it is, is that when either one jurisdiction -- in this case,
19 the feds -- bring you over or if you ask to come
20 [indiscernible] feds to resolve the case, they have a set
21 amount of time that they have to resolve your case by.
22 Otherwise, it would -- you could petition the Court to dismiss
23 the indictment as a result of them not being able to follow
24 that time; right?

25 But in this case, because I do want you to make

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 Parole Board and -- you would essentially -- because of the
2 way the commissioners work, you would have to go back to state
3 in order to appear for that unless they have some other way to
4 do it from Pahrump. That you would waive the timeline for
5 which the Government has to bring you to trial so that we can
6 resolve the case or otherwise. But you would have to waive
7 the timeline under the Interstate Agreement on Detainers. Do
8 you understand that?

9 **THE DEFENDANT:** Yes.

10 **MS. ZHENG:** Are you okay with waiving that timeline?
11 We'd have to make waiver for the record.

12 **THE DEFENDANT:** I mean, what's interesting to me is
13 that, if I'm being detained in Pahrump by the federal system,
14 it kind of wouldn't even be relevant about my parole hearing
15 anyway. Because no matter what they decide to do, I wouldn't
16 be getting parole.

17 **MS. ZHENG:** No --

18 **THE DEFENDANT:** I mean, I wouldn't be -- I wouldn't
19 be being released.

20 **MS. ZHENG:** It does. So there is a difference
21 between --

22 **THE COURT:** No. Hold on, Ms. Zheng. Ms. Zheng.
23 Ms. Zheng, you know what? I've got a little bit of time here.
24 So -- what time is it, Tawnee?

25 **COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR:** [Indiscernible].

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2:21-cr-00002-JAD-NJK-1 • 1/29/2021

1 **THE COURT:** Oh yeah. I have something at 11:00. But
2 I think what I ought to do is we can put them in a room;
3 right? Why don't we put the two of you in a room so --

4 **MS. ZHENG:** Okay.

5 **THE COURT:** -- you can explain all this to him
6 without the Government and me listening to it. And then, when
7 you're ready, can you e-mail my courtroom deputy, let her know
8 you're ready to come back into the hearing, and we'll bring
9 you back in.

10 **MS. ZHENG:** Sure.

11 **THE COURT:** And then, if your client wants to waive
12 the time under the act, he can. And if he doesn't, he can't.
13 It's his choice to make one way or another. But --

14 **MS. ZHENG:** Sure.

15 **THE COURT:** Okay. So -- but, of course, if he
16 doesn't, then I guess I really can't order him -- what I was
17 trying to order to make the parole happen, I wouldn't be able
18 to do that if you won't waive it; right? You understand?

19 **MS. ZHENG:** We'll ask for the breakout, yes, Your
20 Honor.

21 **THE COURT:** Okay.

22 *(Audio recording paused at 10:36 a.m., until 10:50 a.m.)*

23 **THE COURT:** -- discussed this with your client, is he
24 willing to waive the time periods under the Interstate
25 Compact?

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Amber McClane, RPR, CRR, CCR #914

/s/ Amber M. McClane 5/1/2023
AMBER MCCLANE, RPR, CRR, CCR #914 Date

Page 26